

Exhibit “9”

Carle Kopecky
120 Clapper Road
Warnerville, NY 12187
Sept. 21, 2002

Members of the Board of Trustees

Gentlemen,

I am disturbed by the meeting that I am informed is taking place today, not because of the subject, but as it represents a continuation of practices I had thought we were striving to discontinue. These are:

The practice of reversing previous decisions of the Board, in this case not two days from the original decision. It gives the impression that we do not know what we're doing in spite of our deliberations at the meeting. True, if we don't have all the information available at the meeting we may be making uninformed decisions. But this is an indication that we are not being well-served by those who are providing that information. When the information is brought to the attention of some after the meeting it should be too late. Wait until the next meeting to address it.

Once before we had to nullify a decision when not all of the Trustees received proper notification of the meeting as per the by-laws. According to the Museum By-Laws, Section 4 "Notice of the meeting signed by the Secretary shall be mailed to the last recorded address of each Trustee at least ten days before the time appointed for the meeting." *Note: Past practice has made Tom the Secretary's designee for such written notice.* "There shall be such other meetings as shall be deemed necessary by the President or by any two members of the Board other than the President. The Secretary shall send out the aforesaid notices at the direction of the President or the said two Trustees. PROPER NOTIFICATION OF THIS MEETING HAS NOT BEEN MADE.

I am sorry that a non profit Board can not conduct business as swiftly as a private business can. A non profit board is a deliberative, policy setting body that acts as a whole. One or a few Trustees can NOT make decisions for the rest of us. We have allowed for emergencies with our teleconferencing arrangements, but the question of whether to pay rent for a few months is NOT an emergency (like an airplane crash). And this meeting is NOT via teleconference.

One of the reasons we decided to pay rent for the time being was to avoid alienating our neighbor, whose good will we need as he controls a substantial portion of the airspace we use. Individual Trustees are hereby warned against acting brashly with Mr.DiBlase on their own authority by threatening to deny runway access or in any other intimidating way without a fully-deliberated Board action. To do so could be considered misconduct and risks removal or suspension from the Board as per Section 9 of the By-Laws.

Finally, I find the notion that we can throw up a new hangar, if needed, in a month or so to be ludicrous. We have never done anything important in a

month or so. Our capital improvement project for this fall has long been to install heat in the History of Flight building. That should remain Tom's top priority. We have a committee working on a grounds and facilities plan so that we don't erect a hangar in haste only to realize that it may not be situated at the best location. That is why we didn't repair or replace the Number 1 hangar when it was suggested last year. Let's stop this crisis management way of doing things.

Sincerely,


Carle J. Kopecky
Secretary

---Original Message---

From: Richard King [mailto:king@taconic.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2006 3:44 PM
To: Ackley, Gwen
Subject: Horrigan

Hi Gwen and Parker,

I don't trust Horrigan, Period!! There have been too many inconsistencies with what he tells people, and his actions don't always seem to be what he intends them to be. If he thinks Palmquist will help him take over ORA, he's going to have a tough battle.

Palmquist didn't do a thing about the two mechanics that they tried to "bribe" to license a/c, why should he act on something like a stolen engine?

Also Gwen, I think the ORA board is out of money. Two reasons, 1) they didn't have their lawyer follow-up on my final letter to the board in which I was to sign letters stating that I would do certain things (keep my mouth shut and never go against them again). They didn't want to pay him any more. Without my signatures, I am not bound to do anything (though I did pay them thousands).

and 2) I saw Scott Preston, the mechanic that resigned. He told me that he sued them for \$9500 that they owed him for wages last summer. He received a letter from their lawyer that said, "If you persist in following through with this lawsuit, the aerodrome will go bankrupt and out of business." He said he felt that he couldn't pursue this if that was going to happen. I told him to pursue it and see where the pieces will fall. I haven't heard from him so I don't know their status. I have tried to get minutes of meetings, but Jenifer, the secretary tells me that they don't keep minutes of meetings.

For what it's worth. As always Dick K.

8/10/07

RAM Annual Report Request never fulfilled, no information from office

Sean Tavares, a museum member from Ohio emailed that he never received the copy of the annual report from RAM that he requested.

"No, I never received a copy. I asked for it by telephone one day in November or maybe early December of last year. I called one afternoon, and the office manager (Jean?) answered the phone and talked to me. I think what prompted me to ask for it was the mention that one could obtain an annual report from the Aerodrome Office on that fundraising letter that I'd gotten around that time.

The office manager said she would "put me on the list" of people who requested one. She told me the annual report was being worked on. At that point she thought it might be ready some time in January of this year.